

.Gravatt, Dan

From: Washburn, Ben
Sent: Tuesday, May 20, 2014 5:50 PM
To: Carey, Curtis; Peterson, Mary; Tapia, Cecilia; Field, Jeff; Gravatt, Dan; Hoefer, David; Hammerschmidt, Ron; Kiefer, Robyn V NWK
Subject: Fw: West Lake CAG Questions for EPA and ACE
Attachments: EPA Questions for Public Meeting May 29.docx

Attached are questions from the CAG for the meeting on 5/29.

From: Douglas Clemens
Sent: Tuesday, May 20, 2014 5:21:23 PM
To: Washburn, Ben
Cc: Bill Wilson; bob nowlin; Lynn Leake; Rhonda Steelman; Vernita Wilson
Subject: West Lake CAG Questions for EPA and ACE

Ben,

As per our discussion yesterday this is the list of questions from last meeting and the interem.

Thank you,

Douglas Clemens

CONFIDENTIALITY / PRIVILEGE NOTICE: This transmission and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee. This transmission is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C §§ 2510-2521. The information contained in this transmission is confidential in nature and protected from further use or disclosure under U.S. Pub. L. 106-102, 113 U.S. Stat. 1338 (1999), and may be subject to attorney-client or other legal privilege. Your use or disclosure of this information for any purpose other than that intended by its transmittal is strictly prohibited, and may subject you to fines and/or penalties under federal and state law. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please DESTROY ALL COPIES RECEIVED and confirm destruction to the sender via return transmittal.

0714

3,0

40493370



Superfund

EPA Questions for Public Meeting May 29, 2014

Questions from April 21, 2014 meeting and submissions in the interim period:

1. List of documents to be reviewed by US Army Corp of Engineers-KC –
 - a. Will that include Latty Ave documents about the radioactive materials taken from there and dumped in this landfill?
 - b. Historical documents – Harvey Ferdman – 1974 document saying topsoil was scraped, 39 tons mixed with barium sulfate. Will CORP have the latitude to look at where the materials come from?
2. The Corp is putting together a team to type and identify; who is working on the project, technical assistance (review documents), design reviews (work plans – plans for isolation barrier & procedure for construction & activity – air monitoring plan, bird hazard mitigation plan, waste handling & storing procedures, waste disposal procedures – are they complete, technically correct, and compliant with regulations, are they protective of the community and the environment
3. Doug Clemens asked: If CORP opinion stands juxtaposed to the EPA, what is the resolution to this process?
 - a. Who specifically will make the decision?
4. What contingency plan will be for the homeowners and workers when construction begins opening up the trench? (The public wants to see a written plan)
5. In the agreed order between the EPA and Republic, there are agreed transfers of information. When DNR was entered into negotiations with Republic Services last summer regarding the contingency plan regarding what would happen where and when, DNR was able within days of receiving a work plan, to post that on the DNR website in order to give an opportunity to review and submit comments to DNR. Responses were included in responses to the work site. The draft work plan between EPA and Republic Services has yet to be published on the EPA's website. Will the EPA put these documents on the website to allow people in this community to submit comments on the records before final decisions are made? (This is an issue raised frequently without answers (see: Ed Smith questions to Jeffrey Fields).)
6. At last community meeting, there was a request for 24/7 monitoring. Will the EPA provide monitoring in addition to the DNR?

7. We never got lab results on the path for the barrier. Core seed sampling was done. Not received all or in summary format to determine if there is a clean line yet to use for barrier. Was a clear path found?

8. We would like to see written information from STL Corp, radium 226 and Thor 230, can be only recognized with air monitoring filtrations systems. Where and when can the community see the data for the 2-3 hours turn around monitoring?

9. What happened at the remedy review board?

10. Will the EPA review the site data available and conduct any additional measurements needed to assess the conditions of OU1 regarding the potential for the occurrence of a spontaneous SSE at OU1 and to report the findings to the CAG. (Specifically the EPA not EMSI)

3. Will the EPA make and post contingency plans for controlling and putting out any surface fire on OU1 with minimal risk to firefighters and for communicating with and evacuating nearby businesses and residents, if needed?

a. Will an explanation of the contingency plan to be given to the CAG so that you can help make people aware of the plan in the event that a fire ever occurs on OU1 before the impacted surface soil is cleaned up?

11. What contingency plan will be for the homeowners and workers when construction begins opening up the trench?

12. What is the MDA (Minimal Detectable Activity) of the instrumentation used by the EPA to survey BMAC? Is it low enough to be capable of detection the remediation level (6 picocuries per gram) of alphas?

13. The proper response to the elevated transuranic levels in the soil is to perform detailed and systematic soil sampling. Why is a different method being used at BMAC?